

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/671,873	09/29/2003	Gerald Harron	85195-302 ADB	5408	
23529	7590 10/31/20	06	EXAMINER		
	MPANY INC.	ODOM, CURTIS B			
	3006 1795 HENDERS MB R2G1P0	ON HIGHWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			2611		

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•		SK		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/671,873	HARRON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Curtis B. Odom	2611			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	:s		
WHIO - External control contro	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commu. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 Sentember 2003				
,	· _ ·	This action is non-final.				
′—	Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is		
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice und					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the applicat					
<b>€</b> \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement				
	, ,	ma/or election requirement.				
	tion Papers					
,	The specification is objected to by the Exa		57 1: (a.16.1 (f. F. a. a.)	1		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·				
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
		le Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of John F 10-1	J2.		
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for     All b  Some * c  None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur		Annlination No			
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docur</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>			no.		
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Br</li> </ol>		Treceived in this Mational Otal	36		
*	See the attached detailed Office action for		t received			
		a not of the continue copies no				
Attachme		<b>∧ □ i</b> :	Summan (DTO 442)			
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of 6)  Other:	Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/671,873 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because the axis of each graph should be labeled (see Figs 9-11). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 3-5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Application/Control Number: 10/671,873 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

a. In claim 1, line 12, the phrase "amplifying the signal" is suggested to be changed to "amplifying the composite signal".

- b. In claim 1, line 7, the phrase "the same modulation" is suggested to be changed to "a same modulation".
- c. In claim 3, lines 20-21, the phrase "delay in is performed at any point the" is suggested to be changed to "delay is performed at any point in a modulation process".
- d. In claim 4, line 22, the phrase "delay in is" is suggested to be changed to "delay is".
- e. In claim 5, line 1, the phrase "delay in is" is suggested to be changed to "delay is".
- f. In claim 5, line 2, the phrase "the RF stage" is suggested to be changed to "a radio frequency (RF) stage".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2611

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vankka (US 2003/0206600) in view of Montojo et al. (US 2003/0202611).

Regarding claim 1, Vankka discloses method of generating a multi carrier quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) signal (see Fig. 1, section 0004 and 0023) comprising:

creating (as described in section 0004) a plurality of four composite amplitude modulated QAM signals each using two carriers of the same local oscillator frequency wherein the two carriers are distinguished by having a phase shifted difference of 90 degrees;

wherein the QAM signals are of the same quadrature baseband modulation as shown in Fig. 1 (see also section 0004);

wherein the QAM signals have the similar local oscillator clocks (see section 0004), wherein the carrier branches are similar;

summing (at element 112 of Fig. 1) the QAM signals to form a composite multi carrier OAM signal (see section 0004; and

amplifying the QAM signals in power amplifiers (see Fig. 1, 111, section 0004) for transmission;

However, Vankka does not disclose the QAM signal are of the same data rate and phase, wherein there is provided a symbol delay on one or more QAM signals prior to the signals being summed where the delay is computed such that peak QAM power transitions in the QAM signals statistically do not align in time.

However Montojo et al. discloses a multi-carrier transmitter system (Fig. 5, block 500, section 0023), wherein the carriers are modulated in blocks 300A-C, wherein also the modulation performed is QAM, wherein the same data rate is used in used in each modulator (as

Art Unit: 2611

described in section 0021) according to a receiving destination. The modulated carriers are summed (see Fig. 5 element 502), to produce a resulting signal (element 504) which is shown in Fig. 4. According to Fig. 4, each carrier (460, 461, 462) has the same phase and bandwidth. Montojo et al. also discloses there is provided a symbol delay (see Fig. 5, 512B-C) on two QAM signals prior to the signals being summed, where the delay is computed based on a chip time (see section 0023) or a symbol time (see section 0028) such that the peak to average power ratio is reduced (see sections 0003 and 0013) since the peak values of each symbol are not aligned in time because of the delay. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multi-carrier QAM modulation method of Vankka with the delaying of Montojo et al. since Montojo et al. states the delaying of the carriers reduces peak to average power ratios (see section 0003).

Regarding claim 2, Montojo et al. further discloses the delay is arranged according to the equation: the additional delay for each QAM signal is equal to the symbol rate (duration) of the QAM signals divided by the number of QAM signals in summation (see section 0028), wherein each addition delay is 1/3 the symbol time or the symbol time divided by the number of signals (carriers), which is 3 in this case. It would have been obvious to include this feature since Montojo et al. states the delaying of the carriers reduces peak to average power ratios (see section 0003).

Regarding claim 4, Montojo et al. discloses the delay is performed immediately prior to summation of the QAM signals (see Fig. 5, elements 512B-C). It would have been obvious to include this feature since Montojo et al. states the delaying of the carriers reduces peak to average power ratios (see section 0003).

Regarding claim 6, Montojo et al. further discloses the carriers of the QAM signals are of equal level (see Fig. 4, carriers 461, 462, and 463). It would have been obvious to include this feature since Montojo et al. states the delaying of these carriers reduces peak to average power ratios (see section 0003).

5. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vankka (US 2003/0206600) in view of Montojo et al. (US 2003/0202611) as applied to claim 1, and in further view of Keashly et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 330, 289).

Regarding claims 3 and 5, Vankka and Montojo et al. do not disclose the delay is performed at any point in the modulation process of the QAM signal or the delay is performed in an RF stage of the composite QAM signal.

However, Keashly et al. also discloses a multi-channel (carrier) system including multiple channels (carriers) upconverted to an RF frequency and then combined for transmission (see column 4, lines 6-16). Time delays/offsets are introduced (see Fig. 5) in each radio frequency channel to prevent the signal peaks of each carrier (channel) from occurring at the same time to reduce peak power (see column 2, lines 27-39). The delays can be introduced at the beginning of a carrier modulation process (see column 5, lines 47-59) to produce a delayed bit stream for carrier modulation. Keashly et al. further discloses the time delay offsets can be introduced at any point prior to combining the channels (carriers) in the system (see column 2, lines 51-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the delaying at any point prior to combining in Vankka and Montojo et al. including performing the delaying at an RF frequency prior to combination as disclosed by Keashly et al. since Keashly et al. states the delaying prevents the signal peaks of

Art Unit: 2611

each carrier (channel) from occurring at the same time to reduce peak power (see column 2, lines 27-39) without adversely affecting the average signal power.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis B. Odom whose telephone number is 571-272-3046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Curtis Odom October 25, 2006

Application/Control Number: 10/671,873

Art Unit: 2611

Page 8